

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,825	07/01/2003	Julio H. Monroig	200205243-1	3058
7590 10/14/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY			SMITH, JAMES G	
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/611,825	MONROIG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	James G. Smith	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a b.  a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	0 September 2004.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the applicant 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-30</u> is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-11,15-21,31,32 and 34-43</u> is 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5,12-14 and 33</u> is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 12 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 13 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 14 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 15 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 15 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 15 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 15 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 15 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 16 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 16 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 17 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 17 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 17 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and 34-43</u> is 18 claim(s) are subject to restriction and 34-43 is 18 claim(s) are subject to restriction and 34-43 is 18 claim(s) are subject to restriction and 34-43 is 18 claim(s) are subject to restriction and 34-43 is 18 claim(s) are subject to restricti	drawn from consideration. s/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/03.</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of the Group I invention in the reply filed on
   September 2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 22-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 20 September 2004.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 16, 17, 34, 35, 37-39 and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear as to what claim 37 is drawn as it depends from a method of making a tool, but appears to claim only the tool per se.

Claims 16, 17, 38 and 39 appear also to be drawn to a method of making the tool, but depend from claims 31 and 38, respectively, which are not method claims.

Claims 41-43 appear to recite only a fastener and/or a circuit board, however the invention of claim 11, from which claim 41 depends, is only a socket that can operate on a fastener and not the combination of both and claim 18, from which claims 42 and 43 depend, a to a method of turning a fastener and not the fastener or

Application/Control Number: 10/611,825 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

circuit board. Therefore it is unclear as to what applicants believe they have invented.

There if no antecedent basis for "said first recess" in claim 2, "said adjustable stopper" and "said recess" in claim 34 and "said adjustable stopper" in claim 35.

5. Normally a claim which fails to comply with the first and/or second paragraph of § 112 will not be analyzed as to whether it is patentable over the prior art since to do so would of necessity require speculation with regard to the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter, In re Steele, 308 F.2d 859, 862-63, 134 USPQ 292, (CCPA 1962) and In re Wilson, 424 F.2d 1382, 1385, 496 USPQ 494, 496 (CCPA 1970).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11, 15, 31, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Witmer as it shows a stopper that merely slides into the socket body and is therefore "adjustable".
- 8. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sabo which shows a "stopper" (44) that limits the insertion of the workpiece, i.e. a piece of pipe that "fastens" to some type of element and is therefore broadly a "fastener".
- 9. Claims 31 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hammons et. al..

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 10 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Witmer.

Witmer shows the claimed invention except for the use of specific materials of construction and the exact size of the hex opening, claim 40. It would be obvious, however, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Witmer by using any well known material to make the socket body and to size the hex opening to fit any desired workpiece.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 4, 5, 12-14 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,825 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs 10/13/04